## 142. Radical Arylation Reactions of 4,6,8-Trimethylazulene

by Anne Andrée Sophie Briquet1) and Hans-Jürgen Hansen\*

Organisch-chemisches Institut der Universität, Winterthurerstrasse 190, CH-8057 Zürich

(20. VII. 94)

The synthesis of 1- and 2-aryl-substituted (aryl = Ph, 4-NO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>, and 4-MeO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>) 4,6,8-trimethylazulenes (4 and 3, respectively) in moderate yields by direct arylation of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene (8) with the corresponding arylhydrazines 13 in the presence of  $Cu^{II}$  ions in pyridine (see *Scheme 4*) as well as with 4-MeO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>Pb(OAc)<sub>3</sub> (16) in CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (see *Scheme 5*) is described. With 13, also small amounts of 1,2- and 1,3-diarylated azulenes (see 14 and 15, respectively, in *Scheme 4*) are formed. The 4-methoxyphenylation of 8 with 16 yielded also the 1,1'-biazulene 17 in minor amounts (see *Scheme 5*). 4,6,8-Trimethyl-2-phenylazulene (3a) was also obtained as the sole product in moderate yields by the reaction of sodium phenylcyclopentadienide (1a) with 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate (2) in THF (*Scheme 1*). The attempted phenylation of 8 as well as of azulene (9) itself with *N*-nitroso-*N*-phenylacetamide (10) led only to the formation of the corresponding 1-(phenylazo)-substituted azulenes 12 and 11, respectively (*Scheme 3*).

1. Introduction. – In most of the aryl-substituted azulenes that have been prepared in the nearly 60-year-old history of azulene synthesis (cf. [1] [2]), the aryl groups were already present in the reactants that were combined to azulenes²). A direct arylation method of azulenes has been developed by Hafner et al. [17–19] who showed that aryllithium derivatives can be added directly to the seven-membered ring of azulenes. The dihydroazulenes, formed as intermediates, can easily be dehydrogenated to the corresponding azulenes. However, this LUMO(azulene)-controlled reaction allows the nucleophilic addition only at C(4), C(6), and C(8). Also the reaction of diethyl azulene-1,3-dicarboxylate or of its 2-chloro derivative with aryl-Grignard reagents leads mainly to the formation of the corresponding 4- and 6-arylated azulene-1,3-dicarboxylate [20] [21]. However, diethyl 2-methoxyazulene-1,3-dicarboxylate is first phenylated at C(2) and than mainly at C(4) with PhMgBr [21].

In the course of our investigations of possible thermo- and photochromisms of heptalenes [22], we were interested in the synthesis of 4- and 5-aryl-substituted heptalene-1,2-dicarboxylates with at least three substituents in the *peri*-positions to slow down the thermal C=C bond shift in these heptalenes (see [23–26]). Starting materials for the synthesis of these heptalenes should be the corresponding 1- and 2-aryl-substituted

Part of the Ph. D. thesis of A.A.S.B., University of Zürich, 1993.

This is also true for the very first synthesis of *Pfau* and *Plattner* [3] which yielded *inter alia* 4-phenylazulene and served as proof for the structure of azulenes. Further syntheses of azulenes with aryl substituents at the five-as well as at the seven-membered ring have been performed by *Plattner et al.* [4] [5], *Pommer* [6], *Hafner* and *Kaiser* [7], *Nozoe et al.* [8] [9], *Yasumani et al.* [10] [11], *Gassman et al.* [12] [13], *Copland et al.* [14] as well as *Houk et al.* [15]. In a further variant, *Jutz* and *Schweiger* assembled the Ph and 1,1'-biphenyl-4-yl group directly at the skeleton of 6-methylazulene [16].

4,6,8-trimethylazulenes (see, e.g. [26]). There are, in principle, two established azulene syntheses that should lead to the desired compounds, namely the reaction of the corresponding aryl-substituted sodium cyclopentadienides with 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium salts according to *Hafner* and *Kaiser* [7] or the [8+2] cycloaddition of 4,6,8-trimethyl-2*H*-cyclohepta[b]furan-2-one with the corresponding aryl-substituted enamines according to *Nozoe et al.* [8]. However, the reaction of sodium phenylcyclopentadienide (1a) in THF with 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate (2) gave only 4,6,8-trimethyl-2-phenylazulene (3a) in moderate yields (*Scheme 1*)<sup>3</sup>).

The synthesis of the corresponding sodium (4-methoxyphenyl)cyclopentadienide (1b) turned out to be much more difficult than that of 1a and its reaction with 2 yielded only 3% of a mixture of 2- and 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,6,8-trimethylazulene (3a and 4b, respectively). The second approach via [8+2]-cycloaddition reactions was blocked already at its very beginning, since we were not able to condense 3,5,7-trimethyltropolone (5), which was accessible by hydroxymethylation and reduction of tropolone (cf. [29]), with AcOEt or PhOH<sub>2</sub>COOEt, following the procedure of Nozoe et al. [30], to yield the corresponding 2H-cyclohepta[b]furan-2-ones 7 (Scheme 2)<sup>4</sup>).

Scheme 2

Therefore, we searched for radical arylations of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene (8) which should mainly lead to substitution at C(1) of the azulenes according to earlier results of others authors (cf. [32–34]).

<sup>3)</sup> It has already been observed that the reaction of sodium methylcyclopentadienide in THF with 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium perchlorate yields mainly 2,4,6,8-tetramethylazulene [7] [27], but that the reaction of sodium methoxycarbonylcyclopentadienide with 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate yields a mixture of methyl 4,6,8-trimethylazulene-1- and -2-carboxylate [28].

<sup>4)</sup> Indeed, 7 (R = H) has been prepared in 45-50% yield by vacuum flash pyrolysis (650°/10<sup>-4</sup> Torr) of 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl propiolate [31].

2. Results and Discussions. – According to Arnolds and Pahls [32], azulene (9) can be phenylated in benzene or  $Et_2O$  solution at C(1) with N-nitroso-N-phenylacetamide (10). Later results of Anderson and Chang [33] showed, however, that the main product of this reaction is 1-(phenylazo)azulene (11), and 1-phenylazulene is only the minor product<sup>5</sup>). Indeed, when we repeated the reaction of 8 as well as of 9 with 10 in  $Et_2O$  solution, the only products that could be isolated were the corresponding 1-phenylazo compounds 11 and 12, respectively (Scheme 3).

$$R + H_{3}C$$
 $R + H_{3}C$ 
 $R +$ 

11  $R = H (32\%)^b$ 

Scheme 3

a) 44% of 8 was recovered. b) 27% of 9 was recovered.

9 R=H

It seems that azulenes are too nucleophilic, so that they trap the phenyldiazonium ions which are in equilibrium with the phenyl diazoacetate formed from 10 (cf. [35]). Nefedov et al. [34] have shown, however, that azulene (9) can be arylated with aryl radicals which are generated from the corresponding arylhydrazines by oxidation with  $Cu^{II}$  ions in pyridine. Nowadays, this appears to be the most effective method for the radical arylation of azulene. However, the regioselectivity of the reaction is difficult to control, because substitution occurs at C(1), C(2), C(4), and C(6) with a clear predominance of C(1) (cf. [34]).

Scheme 4 delineates our results on the arylation reaction of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene (8) with phenylhydrazine (13a) and its p-methoxy and p-nitro derivative 13b and 13c, respectively.

As expected, the products 4, which are aryl-substituted at C(1), clearly predominate. However, there is an obvious dependence of the yield of arylated products and the p-substituent in the phenyl hydrazine 13. The best yield is obtained with the most electrophilic 4-nitrophenyl radical. On the other hand, the 4-methoxyphenyl radical shows the lowest yield of arylation. In addition to monoarylated products 3 and 4, we also found small amounts of the diarylated products 14 and 15, at least in the case where the Ar substituent is Ph and p-nitrophenyl. The precursor of 15a can only be 4a. The finding that the analogous diarylated product 15c is not observed is in accordance with the fact that the p-nitrophenyl substituent in 4c deactivates the azulene for a second attack of an electrophilic p-nitrophenyl radical. The source for the 1,2-diarylated azulenes 14a and 14c seems to be the 2-arylated azulenes 3a and 3c which carry the Ar substituent in the nodal plane of the corresponding HOMO, i.e., an electron-attracting substituent at C(2) of the azulenes does not influence very much their reactivity against electrophilic radicals<sup>6</sup>).

Similar results have been reported by Nefedov et al. [34].

<sup>6)</sup> Nefedov et al. [34] observed also the formation of 1,2-diphenylazulene in the arylation reaction of azulene (9).

## Scheme 4

+ ArNHNH<sub>2</sub> 
$$\frac{100-120^{\circ}, Cu^{||a}}{pyridine}$$
 + ArNHNH<sub>2</sub>  $\frac{100-120^{\circ}, Cu^{||a}}{pyridine}$  + Ar = 4-MeO-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 13b 4b (5%) Ar = 4-NO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 13c 4c (30-36%)

Ar = 4-NO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 13c 4c (30-36%)

Ar = 4-NO<sub>2</sub>-C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub> 13c 5c (30-36%)

14a (0.7-1.6%) 15a (0-0.6%) 15b (n.o.) 15b (n.o.) 15c (n.o.)

- a) In total, a 1:1 mixture of CuF<sub>2</sub>·2H<sub>2</sub>O and CuCO<sub>3</sub>·Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> was applied in a 50 % molar excess with respect to 13. The molar ratio of 8 to 13 amounted to 1:10.
- b) In parentheses are given the isolated yields as well as their range on the basis of several runs. In the Exper. Part, only the runs which gave the best yields are described. In all cases, 25-40% of 8 have been recovered.
- c) n.o. = not observed.

Since the yield of 1-(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,6,8-trimethylazulene (**4b**) was low in the described arylation reaction, we looked for another type of aromatic arylation [36] of **8**. *Pinhey et al.* [37] [38] have shown that cationic arylation of aromatic compounds can be well performed with aryllead(IV) tricarboxylates [39] in CF<sub>3</sub>COOH, especially when the aryl substituent carries  $\pi$ -donor groups such as MeO group. It is assumed that the arylation reactions take place *via* corresponding cationic  $\pi$ -complexes (*cf.* [37] [38]). Since it is well documented that azulenes easily form  $\pi$ -donor/acceptor complexes with  $\pi$ -acids such as picric acid or 1,3,5-trinitrobenzene (*cf.* [49]), we studied the reaction of (4-methoxyphenyl)lead(IV) triacetate (**16**) [41] with **8** in CF<sub>3</sub>COOH (*Scheme 5*).

 $Ar = 4-MeO-C_6H_4$ 

a) 38% of 8 were recovered.

Indeed, azulene 4b was formed in a yield of 43% with respect to reacted 8, and it was not accompanied by its 2-substituted isomer 3b. On the other hand, we could isolate the 1,1'-biazulene 17 in a yield of 6% with respect to reacted  $8^7$ ). We assume that 4b is the precursor of 17, and that it is formed by one-electron oxidation of 4b by the 4-methoxyphenyl cation in the corresponding  $\pi$ -complex.

Radical dimerization of  $4b^+$  and loss of two protons from the dimer will directly yield 17 (see, however, the discussion in [43]). The results show that cationic arylations of azulenes with ArPb(OAc)<sub>3</sub> in CF<sub>3</sub>COOH may become difficult at the moment where the oxidation potentials of starting azulenes or product azulenes are lower than that of Ar in ArPb(OAc)<sub>3</sub>, so that the corresponding (azulene)<sup>+</sup> are formed in preference to the  $\sigma$ -complex of the starting azulene and Ar in ArPb(OAc)<sub>3</sub> or the corresponding trifluoroacetate.

We thank Prof. M. Hesse and his coworkers for mass spectra, Prof. W. von Philipsborn and his coworkers for NMR support and numerous <sup>1</sup>H-NOE measurements, and H. Frohofer for elemental analyses. The financial support of this work by the Schweizerischer Nationalfonds zur Förderung der wissenschaftlichen Forschung is gratefully acknowledged.

## **Experimental Part**

General. M.p. on a Büchi apparatus (model FP5/52). The values are not corrected. TLC on silica gel on aluminium foils (silica gel 60 F 254, Merck; layer thickness 0.2 mm). Evaporation of solvents on a rotatory evaporator (r.e.) at 0-40° and 15 Torr. Column chromatography (CC) on a Lobar® column (310 × 25 mm; LiChroprep® Si: 60; Merck) under medium pressure. UV Spectra on an Otsuka spectrophotometer (model MCPD 1100). Maxima and minima ( $\lambda_{max}$  and  $\lambda_{min}$ ) in nm (log  $\varepsilon$ ). IR Spectra on a Perkin-Elmer FT-IR spectrophotometer (model FT-IR 1600); band positions in cm<sup>-1</sup>. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR Spectra at 300 MHz on a Bruker instrument (model AC 300). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR Spectra at 50 MHz on a Varian instrument (model XL 200). Chemical shifts with respect to TMS (= 0) as internal standard; Coupling constants J in Hz. MS on a Finnigan instrument (model MAT SSQ 700); EI at 70 eV; ions in m/z (rel. %).

- 1. 4,6,8-Trimethyl-2-phenylazulene (3a). Sodium phenylcyclopentadienide (1a) was prepared from phenylcyclopentadiene (4.72 g, 33.20 mmol; preparation according to [44]) and Na suspension (0.7 g, 30.2 mmol) in THF (40 ml). After addition of 3.62 g (17.20 mmol) of 2,4,6-trimethylpyrylium tetrafluoroborate (2; preparation according to [45]), a rise in temp. and spontaneous coloration to violet was observed. Stirring was continued for another 30 min, followed by removal of THF (r.e.). Usual workup (cf. [46]) provided 0.840 g (3.41 mmol, 20%) of 3a. Violet crystals. M.p. 131.6–132.6° (hexane).  $R_f$ (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1): 0.36. UV (hexane):  $\lambda_{max}$  396 (4.09), 380 (4.06), 313 (4.81), 304 (4.79), 245 (4.31);  $\lambda_{min}$  384 (4.05), 336 (3.70), 307 (4.85), 266 (4.00). IR (KBr): 2980w, 2920w, 1570s, 1560s, 1540m, 1520w, 1470s, 1440s,1370w, 1330s, 1220s, 1100w, 1070w, 1030w, 920w, 840s, 810s, 760s, 690s, 650w, 630w. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.01–7.98 (dd-like, J = 8.5, 1.3, 2 arom. H); 7.65 (s, H–C(1,3)); 7.51–7.45 (t-like, J = 7.8, 2 arom. H); 7.38–7.32 (t-like, J = 7.3, 1 arom, H); 7.09 (s, H–C(5), H–C(7)); 2.93 (s, Me–C(4), Me–C(8)); 2.64 (s, Me–C(6)). CI-MS: 248 (15), 247 (100,  $[M+1]^+$ ). Anal. cal. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>18</sub> (246.36): C 92.63, H 7.36; found: C 92.36, H 7.56.
- 2. I-(Phenylazo) azulene (11; cf. [32]). To a soln. of 0.250 g (1.95 mmol) azulene (9) in 5 ml Et<sub>2</sub>O, 0.250 g (1.52 mmol) of N-nitroso-N-phenylacetamide (10; for preparation cf. [47]) was added at 0°. After 1 h, a change of color from violet to brown occurred. Another 10 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O were added, the org. phase was extracted with H<sub>2</sub>O, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), and the org. phase evaporated (r.e.). CC (hexane/AcOEt 9:1) yielded in a first fraction 0.068 g (0.52 mmol, 27%) of unreacted 9 and in a second fraction 0.145 g (0.62 mmol, 32%) of 11 in brown needles. M.p. 121° (hexane; [48]: 120–121° (hexane)).  $R_{\rm f}$ (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 7:3): 0.30. UV (hexane):  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  413 (4.46), 328 (4.19), 278 (4.28), 234 (4.35);  $\lambda_{\rm min}$  360 (3.96), 300 (4.09), 260 (4.11). IR (KBr): 1590m, 1570m, 1560m, 1540w, 1450w, 1380s, 1330m, 1270m, 1190w, 790s, 770m, 750s, 690s. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 9.36 (d, J = 9.8, H-C(4)); 8.37 (d, J = 4.8,

<sup>7) 1,1&#</sup>x27;-Biazulenes of type 17 have already been synthetized by *Ullmann* coupling [42a] as well as by Ni<sup>0</sup>-catalyzed coupling reactions [42b] of 1-X-azulenes (X = I, Br).

H–C(2)); 8.34 (d, J = 9.8, H–C(8)); 8.02 (dd, J = 8,6, 1.4, 2 arom. H<sub>o</sub>); 7.74 (t, J = 9.8, H–C(6)); 7.54 (t, J = 7.3, 2 arom. H<sub>m</sub>); 7.46 (m, H–C(5)); 7.45 (d, J = 4.9, H–C(3)); 7.43 (m, 1 arom. H<sub>p</sub>); 7.33 (t, J = 9.7, H–C(7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 154.11 (s); 143.89 (s); 143.83 (s); 139.44 (d); 138.66 (s); 138.42 (d); 135.42 (d); 129.20 (d); 128.94 (d, 2 arom. CH); 126.49 (d); 126.45 (d); 125.20 (d); 122.18 (d, 2 arom. CH); 119.89 (d). CI-MS: 234 (16), 233 (100, [M + 1]<sup>+</sup>), 232 (20). Anal. cal. for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>12</sub>N<sub>2</sub> (232.29): C 82.73, H 5.21, N 12.06; found: C 82.58, H 5.39, N 11.94.

3. 4,6,8-Trimethyl-1-(phenylazo) azulene (12). As described above for 11, 0.194 g (1.14 mmol) of 4,6,8-trimethylazulene (8) [46] were reacted with 0.146 g (0.89 mmol) of 9 in 5 ml of Et<sub>2</sub>O. CC (hexane/AcOEt 9:1) after workup yielded 0.085 g (0.50 mmol, 44%) of 8 and 0.083 g (0.30 mmol, 26%) of 12 as a brown oil.  $R_1$ (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 7:3): 0.29. UV (hexane):  $\lambda_{max}$  425 (4.40), 336 (4.15), 312 (4.18), 243.8 (4.38);  $\lambda_{min}$  372 (3.92), 327 (4.15), 272 (4.00). IR (KBr): 1580m, 1560w, 1520w, 1490m, 1425w, 1370s, 1320s, 1300s, 1290s, 1210m, 1220m, 1190m, 1140w, 1110w, 1060w, 1020w, 850w, 800m, 760m, 720m, 680m. <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.17 (d, J = 4.7, H-C(2)); 7.89 (d-like, J = 8.2, 2 arom. H<sub>o</sub>); 7.51 (t-like, J = 8.0, 2 arom. H<sub>m</sub>); 7.38 (t-like, J = 7.3, 1 arom. H<sub>p</sub>); 7.38 (d, J = 4.7, H-C(3)); 7.32 (s, H-C(5)); 7.21 (s, H-C(7)); 3.39 (s, Me-C(4)); 2.89 (s, Me-C(6)); 2.66 (s, Me-C(8)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 154.26 (s); 149.62 (s); 147.63 (s); 147.34 (s); 147.06 (s); 140.49 (s); 133.69 (s); 132.94 (d); 130.64 (d); 128.90 (d, 2 arom. CH); 128.56 (d); 122.27 (d, 2 arom. CH); 121.92 (d); 117.92 (d); 29.65 (q); 28.51 (q); 25.30 (q). CI-MS: 276 (15), 275 (100,  $[M + 1]^+$ ), 274 (34).

4. Arylations of **8** (cf. [34]). 4.1. With Phenylhydrazine (13a). To a soln. of 2.0 g (14.5 mmol) CuF<sub>2</sub>·2 H<sub>2</sub>O (Fluka) in 30 ml of pyridine, 6.6 g (29.8 mmol) of CuCO<sub>3</sub>·Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> (Fluka) were added. After evaporation of 1.5 ml of the solvent, 0.681 g (4.0 mmol) of **8** were added, and the mixture was heated to 100–120°, followed by dropwise addition of 2.16 g (20.0 mmol) of **13a** in 5 ml of pyridine during 20 min under vigorous stirring. Again, 3 ml of the solvent were distilled off, the mixture was cooled to r.t. and diluted with 10 ml of pyridine. Further 6.60 g (29.8 mmol) CuCO<sub>3</sub>·Cu(OH)<sub>2</sub> and 2.16 g (20.0 mmol) of **13a** in 5 ml pyridine were added. After cooling, addition of 1.0 g (45.9 mmol) of CuF<sub>2</sub>·2 H<sub>2</sub>O and complete evaporation (r.e.) of pyridine followed. The residue was dissolved in Et<sub>2</sub>O, the Et<sub>2</sub>O phase extracted with 1n HCl, NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and NaCl (sat. aq. solns.), and dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). Evaporation of Et<sub>2</sub>O and CC (hexane) yielded the following fractions in the order of elution: 0.231 g (1.36 mmol, 34%) of **8** (violet crystals), 0.139 g (0.56 mmol, 14%) of **4a** (blue solid), 0.029 g (0.12 mmol, 3%) of **3a** (violet crystals), 0.008 g (0.03 mmol, 0.6%) of **15a** (blue solid), and 0.021 g (0.06 mmol, 1.6%) of **14a** (blue-violet solid).

4,6,8-Trimethyl-1-phenylazulene (4a). M.p. 115.0° (hexane).  $R_f$ (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1): 0.37. UV (hexane):  $\lambda_{max}$  352 (3.96), 297 (4.81), 246 (4.65);  $\lambda_{min}$  330 (3.76), 262 (4.14). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.67 (d, J = 4.1, H-C(2)); 7.50-7.38 (m, 5 arom. H); 7.46 (d, J = 4.1, H-C(3)); 7.13 (s, H-C(5)); 7.04 (s, H-C(7)); 2.99 (s, Me-C(4)); 2.68 (s, Me-C(6)); 2.53 (s, Me-C(8)). <sup>1</sup>H-NOE (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz): 2.99 (Me-C(4))  $\rightarrow$  7.13 (s, H-C(5)), 7.46 (s, H-C(3)); 2.68 (Me-C(6))  $\rightarrow$  7.04 (s, H-C(7)), 7.13 (s, H-C(5)); 2.53 (Me-C(8))  $\rightarrow$  7.04 (s, H-C(7)). <sup>13</sup>C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 147.40 (s); 146.10 (s); 145.18 (s); 142.18 (s); 137.17 (s); 136.31 (s); 132.68 (s); 131.28 (s); 130.54 (s), 2 arom. CH); 128.77 (s); 127.30 (s), 2 arom. CH); 126.90 (s); 125.91 (s); 114.91 (s); 28.65 (s); 28.44 (s); 25.50 (s).

4,6,8-Trimethyl-1,3-diphenylazulene (15a). M.p. 167.0–168.0° (hexane).  $R_f$  (hexane): 0.24. UV (hexane):  $\lambda_{max}$  380 (3.84), 358 (3.89), 307 (4.62), 251 (4.53);  $\lambda_{min}$  370 (3.82), 344 (3.78), 275 (4.31). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.59 (s, H–C(2)); 7.46–7.29 (m, 10 arom. H); 6.93 (s, H–C(5), H–C(7)); 2.59 (s, Me–C(6)); 2.47 (s, Me–C(4), Me–C(8)). EI-MS: 323 (20), 322 (100,  $M^+$ ), 307 (6), 292 (7), 291 (8).

4,6,8-Trimethyl-1,2-diphenylazulene (14a). M.p. 129.0–130.0° (hexane).  $R_f$  (hexane): 0.22. UV/VIS (hexane):  $\lambda_{max}$  555 (2.91), 371 (3.85), 310 (4.69), 251 (4.38);  $\lambda_{min}$  ca. 400 (2.5), 344 (3.67), 271 (4.06). ¹H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.47 (s, H−C(3)); 7.25–7.15 (m, 10 arom. H); 7.04 (s, H−C(7)); 6.93 (s, H−C(5)); 2.90 (s, Me−C(4)); 2.57 (s, Me−C(6)); 2.33 (s, Me−C(8)). ¹H-NOE (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz); 2.33 (Me−C(8))→7.04 (s, H−C(7)); 2.57 (Me−C(6))→7.04 (s, H−C(7)), 6.93 (s, H−C(5)). ¹³C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 147.59 (s); 146.83 (s); 145.57 (s); 145.17 (s); 140.96 (s); 138.13 (s); 136.15 (s); 133.03 (s); 131.94 (d, 2 arom. CH); 130.83 (d); 129.79 (d); 129.66 (d, 2 arom. CH); 127.77 (d, 2 arom. CH); 127.54 (d); 127.32 (d, 2 arom. CH); 126.37 (d); 126.20 (d); 115.69 (d); 28.71 (q); 28.41 (q); 25.53 (q). EI-MS: 323 (23), 322 (100,  $M^{++}$ ), 307 (7), 306 (7), 292 (10), 291 (12).

4.2. With 4-Nitrophenylhydrazine (13c). As described above (4.1), 0.340 g (2.0 mmol) of 8, 1.53 g (10 mmol) of 13c, 0.678 g (5.0 mmol) of  $CuF_2 \cdot H_2O$ , and 3.32 g (15.0 mmol) of  $CuCO_3 \cdot Cu(OH)_2$  were reacted in 15 ml of pyridine. Workup and CC (hexane) yielded the following fractions in the order of elution: 0.090 g (0.53 mmol, 26%) of 8, 0.210 g (0.72 mmol, 36%) of 4c (brown crystals), and 0.033 g (0.08 mmol, 4%) of 14c (brown oil).

4.6.8-Trimethyl-1-(4-nitrophenyl)azulene (4c). M.p. 180.0-181.0° (hexane).  $R_{\rm f}({\rm hexane/Et_2O}\ 9:1): 0.20.~{\rm UV}$  (hexane):  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  400 (3.63), 353 (3.59), 295 (4.23), 246 (4.12);  $\lambda_{\rm min}$  367 (3.38), 326 (3.56), 270 (3.78). IR (KBr): 1590s, 1560m, 1510s, 1480m, 1460w, 1440m, 1420m, 1390w, 1370m, 1340s, 1280w, 1270w, 1220w, 1200w, 1110w, 1100m, 1070w, 1030w, 920w, 850s, 790m, 760m, 730m, 710w, 700m.  $^{1}{\rm H-NMR}\ ({\rm CDCl_3}): 8.25-7.54\ (AA'BB', J=8.8, 1.25)$ 

4 arom. H); 7.59 (d, J = 4.1, H–C(2)); 7.39 (d, J = 4.1, H–C(3)); 7.16 (s, H–C(5)); 7.07 (s, H–C(7)); 2.94 (s, Me–C(4)); 2.65 (s, Me–C(6)); 2.47 (s, Me–C(8)). CI-MS: 294 (9), 293 (18), 292 (100, [M + 1] $^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>17</sub>NO<sub>2</sub> (291.35): C 78.33, H 5.88, N 4.81; found: C 78.54, H 6.00, N 4.81.

4.6.8-Trimethyl-1.2-bis(4-nitrophenyl) azulene (14c).  $R_{\rm f}$  (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 7:3): 0.13. UV (Et<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{\rm max}$  367 (4.40), 314 (4.64), 248 (4.50);  $\lambda_{\rm min}$  350 (4.39), 269 (4.36), 223 (4.39). <sup>1</sup>H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 8.20 (d, J = 8.7, 2 arom. H); 8.09 (d, J = 8.8, 2 arom. H); 7.49 (s, H–C(3)); 7.45 (d, J = 8.7, 2 arom. H); 7.31 (d, J = 8.8, 2 arom. H); 7.21 (s, H–C(7)); 7.09 (s, H–C(5)); 2.96 (s, Me–C(4)); 2.66 (s, Me–C(6)); 2.35 (s, Me–C(8)). CI-MS: 416 (7), 415 (5), 414 (19), 413 (100, [M + 1] $^+$ ).

4.3. With 4-Methoxyphenylhydrazine (13b). The reaction of sodium 4-methoxycyclopentadienide, which was, in analogy to 1a, only available in bad yields, with 2 in THF (see 1) gave 3b and 4b in an amount of only 2 and 1%, respectively.

As described above (4.1), 0.340 g (2.0 mmol) 8 and 1.75 g (10.0 mmol) of 13b were reacted in 15 ml of pyridine. Workup and CC (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 8:2) yielded in the order of elution: 0.140 g (0.82 mmol), 41%) of 8, 0.015 g (0.05 mmol), 2.7%) of 4b (blue-violet crystals), and 0.002 g (0.007 mmol), 0.4%) of 3b (blue-violet solid).

2-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4,6,8-trimethylazulene (3b).  $^{1}$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.91 (d, J = 8.8, 2 arom. H); 7.53 (s, H-C(1), H-C(3)); 7.05 (s, H-C(5), H-C(7)); 7.00 (d, J = 8.8, 2 arom. H); 3.88 (s, MeO); 2.89 (s, Me-C(4), Me-C(8)); 2.62 (s, Me-C(6)).

I-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-4.6.8-trimethylazulene (4b). M.p. 136.5-137.5° (hexane).  $R_1$ (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 7:3): 0.50. UV (hexane):  $\lambda_{max}$  354 (3.95), 294 (4.80), 247 (4.62);  $\lambda_{min}$  335 (3.84), 265 (4.13). IR (KBr): 3060w, 3020w, 2980w, 2950w, 2920w, 2820w, 1600w, 1570s, 1550m, 1520s, 1490s, 1450m, 1440s, 1420s, 1370m, 1330w, 1300w, 1280s, 1240s, 1180m, 1170s, 1110w, 1100m, 1060w, 1030s, 990w, 910w, 850w, 830s, 780m, 720w, 710w, 650w, 630w, 610w.  $^1$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.58 (d, d = 4.0, H-C(2)); 7.39 (d, d = 4.0, H-C(3)); 7.33 (d, d = 8.6, 2 arom. H); 7.05 (d, H-C(5)); 6.97 (d, H-C(7)); 6.96 (d, d = 8.6, 2 arom. H); 3.90 (d, MeO); 2.93 (d, Me-C(4)); 2.62 (d, Me-C(6)); 2.48 (d, Me-C(8)).  $^{13}$ C-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 158.12 (d); 147.42 (d); 146.02 (d); 145.62 (d); 137.04 (d); 136.38 (d); 134.55 (d); 132.31 (d); 131.39 (d), 2 arom. CH); 128.66 (d); 128.66 (d); 126.74 (d); 114.82 (d); 112.81 (d), 2 arom. CH); 55.27 (d); 28.49 (d); 28.42 (d); 25.46 (d). CI-MS: 278 (21), 277 (100, [d + 1] $^+$ ). Anal. calc. for C<sub>20</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O (276.38): C 86.92, H 7.29; found: C 86.63, H 7.33.

5. Reaction of 8 with (4-Methoxyphenyl)lead Triacetate (16) (cf. [38]). At r.t., 0.264 g (1.55 mmol) of 8 and 0.493 g (1.00 mmol) of 16 (prepared according to [41]) were stirred in 5 ml CH<sub>3</sub>COOH for 24 h. After usual workup and CC (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 9:1), the following products were obtained (in the order of elution): 0.100 g (0.59 mmol, 38%) of 8, 0.075 g (0.42 mmol, 27%) of 4b (blue-violet crystals), and 0.033 g (0.06 mmol, 4%) of 17 (green solid).

3,3'-Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)-4,4',6,6',8,8'-hexamethyl-1,1'-biazulene (17). M.p. 222.1–222.7° (Et<sub>2</sub>O/hexane).  $R_1$  (hexane/Et<sub>2</sub>O 7:3): 0.27. UV (Et<sub>2</sub>O):  $\lambda_{\text{max}}$  382 (4.22), 359 (4.34), 296 (4.97), 253 (4.87);  $\lambda_{\text{min}}$  372 (4.24), 347 (4.36), 271 (4.70), 229 (4.65). IR (KBr): 3000w, 2950w, 2920w, 2820w, 1610w, 1570s, 1550w, 1500s, 1430 (br. m), 1390w, 1370w, 1330w, 1300w, 1280m, 1240s, 1170m, 1120w, 1100w, 1090w, 1030m, 990w, 910w, 870w, 830s, 790w, 760w, 700w, 640w.  $^1$ H-NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): 7.49 (s, H-C(2), H-C(2')); 7.35 (d, J = 8.8, 4 arom. H); 6.92 (d, J = 8.8, 4 arom. H); 6.84 (s, H-C(5), H-C(5')); 6.80 (s, H-C(7), H-C(7')); 3.87 (s, 2 MeO); 2.54 (s, Me-C(4), Me-C(4')); 2.48 (s, Me-C(6), Me-C(6')); 2.33 (s, Me-C(8), Me-C(8')). CI-MS: 554 (9), 553 (28), 552 (42), 551 (100,  $[M+1]^+$ ), 539 (5), 537 (5). Anal. calc. for  $C_{40}H_{38}O_2$  (550.75): C 87.23, H 6.95; found: C 86.99, H 7.16.

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Heimgartner, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1992, 75, 359.
- [2] W. Keller-Schierlein, E. Heilbronner, in 'Non-Benzenoid Aromatic Compounds', Ed. D. Ginsburg, Inter-science Publ., Inc., New York, 1959, p. 277 ff.
- [3] A. S. Pfau, P. Plattner, Helv. Chim. Acta 1936, 19, 858.
- [4] P.A. Plattner, R. Sandrin, J. Wyss, Helv. Chim. Acta 1946, 29, 1604.
- [5] P. A. Plattner, A. Fürst, M. Gordon, K. Zimmermann, Helv. Chim. Acta 1950, 33, 1910.
- [6] H. Pommer, Arch. Pharm. 1957, 23.
- [7] K. Hafner, H. Kaiser, Liebigs. Ann. Chem. 1958, 618, 140.
- [8] T. Nozoe, T. Takase, T. Nakazawa, S. Fukuda, Tetrahedron 1971, 27, 3357.
- [9] T. Nozoe, P. W. Yang, C. P. Wu, T. S. Huang, T. H. Lee, H. Okai, H. Wakabayashi, S. Ishikawa, Heterocycles 1989, 29, 1225.
- [10] P.W. Yang, M. Yasunami, K. Takase, Tetrahedron Lett. 1971, 45, 4275.

- [11] M. Yasunami, A. Chen, P. W. Yang, K. Takase, Chem. Lett. 1980, 579.
- [12] P.G. Gassman, T. Nakai, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1971, 93, 5897.
- [13] P.G. Gassman, W.J. Greenlee, Synth. Commun. 1972, 2, 395.
- [14] J. Copland, D. Leaver, W. B. Menzies, Tetrahedron Lett. 1977, 7, 639.
- [15] K. N. Houk, S. E. Reiter, L. C. Dunn, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1977, 99, 4199.
- [16] C. Jutz, E. Schweiger, Chem. Ber. 1974, 107, 2383.
- [17] K. Hafner, H. Weldes, Liebigs. Ann. Chem. 1957, 606, 90.
- [18] K. Hafner, C. Bernhard, R. Müller, Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1961, 650, 35.
- [19] Studiengesellschaft Kohle, Belg. Pat., 1962, 617, 959 (CA: 1962, 58, 11301b).
- [20] N. Abe, T. Morita, K. Takase, Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 40, 3883.
- [21] N. Abe, K. Takase, Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 48, 4739.
- [22] A. Briquet, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1994, in preparation.
- [23] W. Bernhard, P. Brügger, J.J. Daly, G. Englert, P. Schönholzer, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 1010.
- [24] W. Bernhard, P. Brügger, P. Schönholzer, R. H. Weber, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1985, 68, 429.
- [25] R. H. Weber, P. Brügger, T. A. Jenny, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1987, 70, 742.
- [26] A. Briquet, H.-J. Hansen, Helv. Chim. Acta 1994, in preparation.
- [27] R.G. Anderson, G.T. Hollander, J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 131.
- [28] A. J. Rippert, Ph. D. thesis, University of Zurich, 1994.
- [29] T. Nozoe, T. Mukai, K. Takase, Sci. Rep. Tokôhu Univ. Ser. 1 1954, 36, 40.
- [30] T. Nozoe, K. Takase, S. Fukuda, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1971, 44, 2210.
- [31] W. S. Trahanosky, S. L. Emeis, A. S. Lee, J. Org. Chem. 1976, 41, 4043.
- [32] M. Arnolds, K. Pahls, Chem. Ber. 1956, 89, 121.
- [33] A. G. Anderson, G. M.-C. Chang, J. Org. Chem. 1958, 23, 151.
- [34] V. A. Nefedov, N. A. German, A. I. Lutsenko, G. I. Nikishin, J. Org. Chem. USSR 1987, 23, 154.
- [35] E. Bamberger, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1897, 30, 366.
- [36] R. A. Abramovitch, D. H. R. Barton, J.-P. Finet, Tetrahedron 1988, 44, 3039.
- [37] J. T. Pinhey, Aust. J. Chem. 1991, 44, 1353.
- [38] H. C. Bell, J. R. Kalman, G. L. May, J. T. Pinhey, S. Sternhell, Aust. J. Chem. 1979, 32, 1531.
- [39] H. C. Bell, R. K. John, J. T. Pinhey, S. Sternhell, Aust. J. Chem. 1979, 32, 1521.
- [40] K. Hafner, Angew. Chem. 1958, 70, 419.
- [41] P. Kozyrod, J.T. Pinhey, M. F. Semmelhack, D. Ziering, Org. Synth. 1984, 62, 24.
- [42] a) T. Morita, K. Takase, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 1982, 55, 1144; b) M. Iyoda, K. Sato, M. Oda, Tetrahedron Lett. 1985, 26, 3829.
- [43] F. Gerson, M. Scholz, H.-J. Hansen, P. Uebelhart, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, in press.
- [44] R. Riemschneider, R. Nerin, Monatsh. Chem. 1960, 91, 829.
- [45] K. Hafner, H. Kaiser, Org. Synth. 1973, Vol. V, 1108.
- [46] K. Hafner, H. Kaiser, Org. Synth. 1973, Vol. V, 1088.
- [47] H. France, I. M. Heilbronn, D. H. Hey, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1/2 1940, 369.
- [48] A. G. Anderson, J. A. Nelson, J. J. Tazuma, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1953, 75, 4980.